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According to UNHCR, "over 2 million people have fled Syria since the beginning of the conflict in 2011 [1]. In Lebanon, UNHCR recorded 858,641 refugees by 31st December 2013[2], and some media reports skyrocket the number through the roof claiming that more than a million refugees are already living in the country. This short paper offers an insight on how the Lebanese authorities reacted to this humanitarian crisis, and points out the (absence of) policy from the relevant decision makers.

Designing a policy in normal circumstances is an extremely complex machinery that involves gathering large amount of relevant data around a specific issue before exploring appropriate solutions to solve and ease problems facing particular groups within a society. This very technical process must always be accompanied with strong political will for it to be successfully implemented before it is evaluated and eventually corrected, if needed. So in emergency and disaster-related situations, the context becomes even harsher and requires a higher level of organization and coordination from the relevant authorities. Lebanon, as one can imagine, lacks the requirements for shaping consistent and comprehensive policies in accord with the needs of public interest, adding to the factors that are in fact worsening the refugee crisis in the country.

This situation created a sort of no-policy context coming from the Lebanese authorities in regards to the Syrian influx of refugees who are protected under international refugee law and the international law of armed conflict is tangible on many levels, from the lack of political will, to a sense of confusion and the implementation of contradictory measures.

Because the main focus of the Lebanese government, as the Syrian refugee crisis erupted, was securing international funds to help with humanitarian assistance, whether through multi-donors trust funds or other mechanisms[3], the officials did not develop any form of planning on the actual status and living conditions of the refugees coming from Syria. One of the first dilemmas the Lebanese government and security services had to overcome was whether to consider the option of setting up refugee camps for the growing number of refugees arriving from Syria. Haunted by the Palestinian...
experience in Lebanon, by the time the Lebanese authorities and security services discarded the very
idea of organizing the relief work through refugee camps, the negative decision no longer mattered as
‘unofficial’ camps were flourishing in a disorganized manner in the North and the Bekaa, while
international aid organizations were struggling with a growing catastrophic humanitarian disaster[4].

As a consequence, the de facto rule imposed itself over the no-camp policy as no measures were being
studied by the relevant authorities to regulate the living conditions in the unofficial camps where local
communities have seized the prerogative of “law and order” in the absence of official services[5]. On a
local level, as far as 25 municipalities in Lebanon started imposing curfews for Syrians and foreigners in
general, disregarding the fact that many foreigners, including Syrians, own houses and apartments in
Lebanon since before the war in Syria. From a legal point of view, these curfews are deemed
"unconstitutional and illegal" according to lawyer and human rights activists Nizar Saghiye[6].

Moreover, these refugees came to be accused of competing with the Lebanese on the local labor
market in order to survive[7], making the absence of a comprehensive humanitarian policy on a national
level an additional aggravation factor to the worsening of the economic situation in the country. This
drew reactions from Lebanese economical bodies and eventually political figures pressuring the
government to survey and crack down on illegal Syrian businesses[8]. But these allegations of negative
impacts of Syrian refugees are often exaggerated and misplaced as stressed by economist Jad
Chaaban who uncovered the positive effects of the presence of refugees in local villages: “for the first
time you have people spending money in these areas. You have a local economy that has been created”[9].

More dangerously, the political class was divided as to whether to even allow Syrian refugees to stay in
Lebanon as their homes were being torn down by the neighboring conflict. Free Patriotic Movement
Minister Gebran Bassil was one of the most outspoken political figures to call for the “deportation of
Syrian refugees”[10], promoting demographic fears at the expense of Lebanese obligations under
International Humanitarian Law, whereas his political opponents backed the idea of supporting and
assisting the fleeing Syrians into Lebanon, but without offering any viable policy solutions.

Under such political divide, the General Security, in charge of the asylum seeking mechanism in the
country, contributed to the confusion using its discretionary powers as it first started to arbitrarily arrest
Syrian refugees disregarding their legal condition[11], a harsh policy that often came with deportation[12]. The latter constitutes an illegal measure in regards to the principle of “non refoulement” that forbids States of expelling persons back to their countries when they can be at risk of being persecuted or fleeing because of war. The situation was reversed thanks to the pressure of watch groups and the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). As stated by the head of the ICRC
deglegation in Lebanon, “since last May, we have had dialogue with the Lebanese authorities on the
principle of non-refoulement. The Lebanese authorities have committed to respecting this principle, whoever the people concerned”[13]. From the perspective of Human Rights Watch Director in Lebanon,
Nadim Houry, “Syria welcomed many Lebanese fleeing war back in 2006. Now it's time to return the
favor. Lebanon should be offering immediate refuge to Syrians fleeing death or torture in their
country”[14].

Confusion and the absence of policy related mechanisms in Beirut also hindered the efforts to ease the
living conditions of Syrian refugees in Lebanon. For instance, in July 2013, the Swedish company IKEA had offered to provide UNHCR and the Lebanese authorities with prefabricated houses for Syrian refugees to live in, which was initially rejected by the Lebanese government[15]. Finally, Lebanese authorities caved to the idea in December 2013, in the middle of a fierce and deadly winter for refugees, especially children[16]. For Roberta Russo, communication officer for UNHCR, this initiative “provided solutions to so many issues — children can study homework at night, there is privacy and it’s easy to set up.” Most important, she says, is that it’s portable. It’s a structure that can be taken with the refugees when they go home. It’s quite likely that when these refugees return to Syria, they won’t have a house to go back to, so this structure actually better facilitates their return”[17].

As the war in Syria continues and the number of refugees is likely to keep on increasing, the passive approach of Lebanese decision makers remains the rule, instead of using the policy tools at hand. Instead of relying on isolated and ill-resourced institutions to deal with such a large scale crisis (High Relief Committee or Ministry of Social Affairs for instance) or leaving it to local and international relief organizations to handle, the government should mobilize all resources at hand, establish an inter-ministerial committee or a special task force for the coordination of all refugees-related issues (shelter, health, relief, education, legal status, financial aid...), recruit experts to be better informed on all available options, and create specific monitoring cells within public institutions to get better reports and data. Hence, build a better response.

Furthermore, specific parliamentarian task forces should also gather urgently and review the present legislation and, if necessary, legislate on the many legal gaps adding to the present confusion as per the official response by the authorities. This would help the Executive branch of the government understand and enforce the legal status of Syrian refugees entering into the country, thus clarifying the legal framework pertaining to the rights and obligations of those refugees staying temporarily on Lebanese soil. Moreover, these clarifications will help regulate and set limits to the work of administrative authorities (police, General Security, Ministries, Municipalities, etc.) when dealing with the refugees each on its respective level. As such, a comprehensive nationwide policy effort on the Syrian refugee crisis constitutes, now more than ever, a high level priority for Lebanon’s decision makers.
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[7] One needs to remember that Syrian labor was already very sizeable in Lebanon even before the war in Syria and the refugee crisis.


